**Health Economics - R&D Alternative Financing Models**

**Student Assignment for January 13, 2016**

All students are required to read at least the “Executive Summary” (*pp. 8-16 of the English version*) and the “Summary of Assessments (*pp. 52-62 of the English version*) of the document:

**Research and Development to Meet Health Needs in Developing Countries: Strengthening Global Financing and Coordination**

Report of the Consultative Expert Working Group on Research and Development: Financing and Coordination

<http://www.who.int/phi/cewg_report/en/>

In addition, each student (*see list below*) is required to find more information in internet about one of the 15 specific proposals. 14 students are asked to present their findings to the class in a format of their choice in maximum 5 minutes followed by 2 minute Q&A. Presentations should cover: what the proposal is about; what the arguments in favor are; and what the arguments against. The 3 remaining students will focus on the same final proposal but each from a different perspective following the same rule on format (free choice) and time (5+2 minutes).

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Removal of data exclusivity | Mireia Navarro |
| Milestone prizes and end prizes | Christoph Jankhöfer |
| Direct grants to companies | Youness El Achhab |
| Green intellectual property | Sally Sabra |
| Orphan drug legislation | Samira El Fakir |
| Patent pools | Francesca Russo |
| Pooled funds | Irma Baltes |
| Open approaches to R&D and innovation | Nabil Tachfouti |
| Purchase or procurement agreements | Nerea Ajuriagogeascoa |
| Priority review voucher | Chakib Boukhalfa |
| Tax breaks for companies | Fabiola St. Hilaire |
| Regulatory harmonization | Najdi Adil |
| Transferable intellectual property rights | Eva Iraizoz |
| Health impact fund | José García |
| Global framework on research and development I (\*)  *Full description of the proposal and current status* | Daniela Enzler |
| Global framework on research and development II (\*)  *Arguments in favor only* | Elena Marban |
| Global framework on research and development III (\*)  *Arguments against only* | Joe Brew |

(\*) *Since the “Global framework on research and development” was the proposal recommended by the CEWG, a more detailed discussion will be devoted to it.*

Your assignment grade will be based on the quality of your presentation and your ability to answer questions. Additional grade points will be granted for students asking questions to their colleagues.